



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| 08/620,641      | 03/22/96    | FREIBERGER           | P I0359-1130US      |

DAVID R GRAHAM, ESQ.  
1337 CHEWON AVENUE  
MILPITAS CA 95035

LM51/0302

EXAMINER

BRIER, J

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
| 2775     | 12           |

DATE MAILED: 03/02/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
**08/620,641**

Applicant(s)  
**Frieberger et al**

Examiner  
**Jeffery A. Brier**

Group Art Unit  
**2775**



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jeffery A. Brier (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) David Graham (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Feb 26, 1998

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:  
None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

A new declaration is required because alterations were made to the declaration after many of the inventors signed the declaration. The residence and post office address of one invenotor is information which is required to be averred to by all the inventors in order for all the inventors to definitely acknowledge all of the joint inventors. Thus, the changed residence and post office address of David Reed was not averred to by all of the inventors.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

*Jeffery A. Brier*  
**JEFFERY A. BRIER**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**  
**ART UNIT 2775**